**Reviewer Assessment Checklist – Low Negligible Risk Applications**

Reflection of values as listed in the National Statement

|  |
| --- |
| **Confirmation of Low/Negligible Risk (LNR)**   1. Does the research meet the criteria for LNR Review? |
| **Research Merit and Integrity**   1. Has a case been made for undertaking the research? 2. Is there likely to be a worthwhile outcome? 3. Has a conflict of interest been declared? See Q1.10 If yes, is there a plan in place for the conflict be managed? 4. Do participants have opportunity to be informed of research findings? |
| **Just Dealings**   1. Has the researcher described who the participants will be? 2. Is the recruitment of intended participants fair? 3. Are the participant inclusion/exclusion criteria consistent with the aims and objective of the research? 4. Does the research show any exploitation of participants in the conduct of research? |
| **Beneficence**   1. Does the burden imposed by the research seem reasonable? 2. Do the researchers clearly explain the risks/inconvenience to participants? |
| **Respect for and Fair Treatment of Participants**   1. Is the process of obtaining consent been adequately explained? 2. Is any information sheet and consent form written in simple everyday language and easy to understand? 3. Will participants be offered the opportunity to withdraw any data and/or tissue provided by them without penalty? 4. Is there a clear elaboration on how data will be managed should a participant decide to withdraw? 5. Is the participant’s privacy being adequately protected? 6. Is any incentive or reimbursement of costs/time to participants being offered? If yes, is it reasonable? |